Root statistics of random polynomials with bounded Mahler measure

Maxim L. Yattselev

Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis



AMS Sectional Meeting

Bloomington, IN April 1st, 2017

Mahler Measure

The Mahler measure of a polynomial $P(z) = a \prod_{n=1}^{N} (z - \alpha_n)$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}) &:= |\mathbf{a}| \prod_{n=1}^N \max\left\{1, |\alpha_n|\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log\left|\mathcal{P}\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\theta}\right)\right| \mathrm{d}\theta\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The Mahler measure of a polynomial $P(z) = a \prod_{n=1}^{N} (z - \alpha_n)$ is defined as

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}(P) &:= |a| \prod_{n=1}^{N} \max\left\{1, |\alpha_{n}|\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log\left|P\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\theta}\right)\right| \mathrm{d}\theta\right\}. \end{split}$$

Theorem (Kronecker, 1857)

M(P) = 1 for a polynomial P with integer coefficients iff P is a product of monomials and cyclotomic polynomials (divisors of $z^n - 1$). Necessarily, such a polynomial has all its roots in $\mathbb{T} \cup \{0\}$.

The Mahler measure of a polynomial $P(z) = a \prod_{n=1}^{N} (z - \alpha_n)$ is defined as

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}(P) &:= |a| \prod_{n=1}^{N} \max\left\{1, |\alpha_{n}|\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log\left|P\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\theta}\right)\right| \mathrm{d}\theta\right\}. \end{split}$$

Theorem (Kronecker, 1857)

M(P) = 1 for a polynomial P with integer coefficients iff P is a product of monomials and cyclotomic polynomials (divisors of $z^n - 1$). Necessarily, such a polynomial has all its roots in $\mathbb{T} \cup \{0\}$.

Conjecture (Lehmer, 1933)

Is 1 an isolated point of the range of $M(\cdot)$ on integer polynomials?

Lehmer himself constructed the smallest known example:

$$M(z^{10} + z^9 - z^7 - z^6 - z^5 - z^4 - z^3 + z + 1) \approx 1.18.$$

Theorem (Chern-Vaaler, 2001)

The number of integer polynomials of height at most T behaves as

$$\operatorname{vol}(B_N)T^{N+1} + \mathcal{O}(T^N), \quad T \to \infty,$$

where B_N is the Mahler measure unit star body. Moreover,

$$\operatorname{vol}(B_N) = \frac{2}{N+1} F_N(N+1),$$

where

$$F_N(s) = C_N \prod_{m=0}^{\lfloor (N-1)/2
floor} rac{s}{s-(N-2m)}$$

and C_N is an explicit constant.

Notice that Lehmer's conjecture asks what happens when $T \rightarrow 1$.

Observe also that both $(z - 1)^N$ and $z^N - 1$ belong to B_N but have drastically different coefficient vectors.

More generally, the λ -homogeneous Mahler measure is given by

$$M^{\lambda}(P) = |a|^{\lambda} \prod_{n=1}^{N} \max \{1, |\alpha_n|\}.$$

The corresponding unit star body is defined as

$$B_N^\lambda := \left\{ (a_1, \ldots, a_{N+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} : M^\lambda \left(\sum_{n=0}^N a_{n+1} z^n
ight) \leq 1
ight\}.$$

Theorem (Chern-Vaaler, 2001)

$$\operatorname{vol}(B_N^{\lambda}) = \frac{2}{N+1} F_N\left(\frac{N+1}{\lambda}\right).$$

$$\operatorname{vol}(B_N^{\lambda}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left\{ \boldsymbol{b} : M^{\lambda} \left(c \boldsymbol{z}^N + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} b_{n+1} \boldsymbol{z}^n \right) \le 1 \right\} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{c}$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}\left\{ \boldsymbol{c}\boldsymbol{b} : M^{\lambda} \left(c \boldsymbol{z}^N + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} c b_{n+1} \boldsymbol{z}^n \right) \le 1 \right\} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{c}.$$

Using the λ -homogeneity of M^{λ} one then gets

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{vol}(B_N^\lambda) &= 2\int_0^\infty c^N \operatorname{vol}\left\{ \frac{\boldsymbol{b}}{\boldsymbol{b}} : M(\boldsymbol{b}) \le c^{-\lambda} \right\} \mathrm{d}c \\ &= \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty \xi^{-(N+1)/\lambda} \operatorname{vol}\left\{ \frac{\boldsymbol{b}}{\boldsymbol{b}} : M(\boldsymbol{b}) \le \xi \right\} \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\xi}, \end{aligned}$$

where M(b) is the Mahler measure of $z^N + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} b_{n+1} z^n$. Integration by parts then gives

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{vol}(B_N^\lambda) &= \frac{2}{N+1} \int_0^\infty \xi^{-(N+1)/\lambda} \operatorname{dvol}\{\boldsymbol{b} : M(\boldsymbol{b}) \leq \xi\} \\ &= \frac{2}{N+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} M(\boldsymbol{b})^{-(N+1)/\lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathbb{R}}^N(\boldsymbol{b}). \end{aligned}$$

Making a change of variables from coefficients of polynomials to their roots gives

$$F_N(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} M(\boldsymbol{b})^{-s} \mathrm{d} \mu_{\mathbb{R}}^N(\boldsymbol{b}) = \sum_{L+2M=N} \frac{Z_{L,M}(s)}{L!M!}$$

where L and M stand for the number of real and complex roots, and

$$Z_{L,M}(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^L} \int_{\mathbb{C}^M} \prod_{l=1}^L \Phi(\alpha_l)^{-s} \prod_{m=1}^M \Phi(\beta_m)^{-2s} |\Delta(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})| \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathbb{R}}^L(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathbb{C}}^M(\boldsymbol{\beta})$$

with $\Delta(\alpha, \beta)$ being the Vandermonde of $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_L, \beta_1, \overline{\beta}_1, \ldots, \beta_M, \overline{\beta}_M$.

The summands $Z_{L,M}(s)$ are not simple and Chern-Vaaler went through a dozen pages of rational function identities to show that

$$F_N(s) = C_N \prod_{m=0}^{\lfloor (N-1)/2
floor} rac{s}{s-(N-2m)}.$$

In fact, one could consider polynomials with complex coefficients. Set

$$B_N^\lambda(\mathbb{C}) := \left\{ (a_1, \ldots, a_{N+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1} : M^\lambda\left(\sum_{n=0}^N a_{n+1} z^n\right) \leq 1
ight\}.$$

Then

$$\operatorname{vol}(B_{N}^{\lambda}(\mathbb{C})) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{vol}\left\{ \boldsymbol{b} : M^{\lambda} \left(\boldsymbol{c}\boldsymbol{z}^{N} + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \boldsymbol{b}_{n+1} \boldsymbol{z}^{n} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{c}$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\boldsymbol{c}|^{2N} \operatorname{vol}\left\{ \boldsymbol{b} : M(\boldsymbol{b}) \leq |\boldsymbol{c}|^{-\lambda} \right\} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{c}$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{N+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \xi^{-2(N+1)/\lambda} \mathrm{d}\operatorname{vol}\left\{ \boldsymbol{b} : M(\boldsymbol{b}) \leq 1 \right\}$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{N+1} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{N}} M(\boldsymbol{b})^{-2(N+1)/\lambda} \mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{N}(\boldsymbol{b}).$$

As before, making a change of variables from the coefficients to the roots gives

$$G_N(s) := \int_{\mathbb{C}^N} M(\boldsymbol{b})^{-2s} \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathbb{C}}^N(\boldsymbol{b}) = \frac{Z_N(s)}{N!},$$

where

$$Z_{N}(s) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{N}} \prod_{n=1}^{N} \Phi(\lambda_{n})^{-2s} |\Delta(\boldsymbol{\lambda})|^{2} d\mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{N}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$$

$$= (2\pi)^{N} \sum_{\sigma} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{\infty} \Phi(\rho_{n})^{-2s} \rho_{n}^{2\sigma(n)-1} d\rho_{n} \right)$$

$$= (2\pi)^{N} \sum_{\sigma} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N} \frac{s}{2\sigma(n)(s-\sigma(n))} \right) = \pi^{N} \prod_{n=1}^{N} \frac{s}{s-n}.$$

Theorem (Chern-Vaaler, 2001)

$$\operatorname{vol}(B_N^\lambda(\mathbb{C})) = rac{\pi}{N+1} G_N\left(rac{N+1}{\lambda}
ight).$$

Theorem (Sinclair, 2008)

Let Π_0, \ldots, Π_{N-1} be polynomials such that

$$\langle \Pi_n | \Pi_m \rangle = \delta_{n,m},$$

where inner product $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$ is defined by

$$\langle f|g
angle_{\mathbb{C}}=\int_{\mathbb{C}}f(z)\overline{g(z)}\Phi(z)^{-2s}\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(z),$$

with $\Phi(z) := \max\{1, |z|\}$. Then

$$G_N(s)=\prod_{n=0}^{N-1}\gamma_n^{-2},$$

where $\Pi_k(z) = \gamma_k z^k + \cdots$.

Theorem (Sinclair, 2008)

Let π_0, \ldots, π_{N-1} be polynomials such that

$$\langle \pi_{2n} | \pi_{2m} \rangle = \langle \pi_{2n+1} | \pi_{2m+1} \rangle = 0$$
 and $\langle \pi_{2n} | \pi_{2m+1} \rangle = \delta_{n,m}$,

where skew-symmetric inner product $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle=\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}+\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined by

$$\langle f|g\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g(y)\operatorname{sgn}(y-x)\Phi(x)^{-s}\Phi(y)^{-s}d\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(x)d\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(y) \langle f|g\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = -2\mathrm{i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{f(z)}g(z)\operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Im}(z))\Phi(z)^{-2s}d\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(z),$$

with $\Phi(z) = \max\{1, |z|\}$. Then

$$F_N(s) = \prod_{n=0}^{\lfloor (N-1)/2 \rfloor} (\gamma_{2n} \gamma_{2n+1})^{-1},$$

where $\pi_k(z) = \gamma_k z^k + \cdots$.

Recall that

$$G_N(s) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^N} M(\boldsymbol{b})^{-2s} \mathrm{d} \mu_{\mathbb{C}}^N(\boldsymbol{b}) \quad \text{and} \quad F_N(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} M(\boldsymbol{b})^{-s} \mathrm{d} \mu_{\mathbb{R}}^N(\boldsymbol{b}).$$

Under a random polynomial we mean a polynomial chosen with respect to

$$M(\mathbf{b})^{-2s}/G_N(s), \quad \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^N, \text{ or } M(\mathbf{b})^{-s}/F_N(s), \quad \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

This is equivalent to choosing polynomials uniformly from $B_N^{(N+1)s^{-1}}$.

We would like to study fine statistics of zeros of such random polynomials.



A simultaneous plot of the roots of 100 random polynomials of degree 28. A ball-walk of 10,000 steps of length .01 starting from x^{28} was performed for each polynomial. The arrows indicate directions of outlying roots.

Let *P* be a random polynomial. For $C \subset \mathbb{C}$ define $N_C := \sharp C \cap \{\text{zeros of } P\}$.

In the case of complex coefficients, a function $R_n : \mathbb{C}^n \to [0, \infty)$ is called *n*-th correlation function if

$$E[N_{C_1}\cdots N_{C_n}]=\int_{C_1}\cdots \int_{C_n}R_n(z)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathbb{C}}^n(z)$$

for pairwise disjoint sets C_1, \ldots, C_n . Since the joint density of the zeros is given by

$$\frac{1}{Z_{N}(s)}\prod_{m < n} |\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{m}|^{2} \prod_{n=1}^{N} \Phi(\lambda_{n})^{-2s} \mathrm{d} \mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{N}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}),$$

 $\Phi(z) = \max\{1, |z|\}$, it is well known in random matrix theory that

$$R_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \det \left[K_N(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)\right]_{i,j=1}^n$$

where

$$K_N(z,w) := \Phi(z)^{-s} \Phi(w)^{-s} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \prod_n(z) \overline{\prod_n(w)}$$

and \prod_n are orthonormal polynomials w.r.t. $\Phi^{-2s}(z)d\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(z)$.

In the case of real coefficients, if there is a function $R_{l,m} : \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{C}^m_+ \to [0,\infty)$ such that

$$E[N_{A_1}\cdots N_{A_l}N_{B_1}\cdots N_{B_m}] := \int_{A_1}\cdots \int_{A_l}\int_{B_1}\cdots \int_{B_m}R_{l,m}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathbb{R}}^l(\mathbf{x})\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathbb{C}}^m(\mathbf{z})$$

for pairwise disjoint sets $A_1, \ldots, A_l \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $B_1, \ldots, B_m \subset \mathbb{C}_+$, then it is called the (l, m)-th correlation function.

When such functions exist, it holds in particular that

$$\deg(P) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} R_{1,0}(x,-) \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(x) + \int_{\mathbb{C}} R_{0,1}(-,z) \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(z)$$

and the first integral represents the expected number of real zeros, where we set $R_{l,m}(\cdot, \overline{z}) := R_{l,m}(\cdot, z)$.

Theorem (Borodin-Sinclair, 2009)

There exists a 2 \times 2 matrix kernel $K_N:\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ such that

$$R_{l,m}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \Pr \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{N}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \end{bmatrix}_{i,j=1}^{l} & \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{N}(x_{i}, z_{n}) \end{bmatrix}_{i,n=1}^{l,m} \\ -\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{N}^{\mathrm{T}}(z_{k}, x_{j}) \end{bmatrix}_{k,j=1}^{m,l} & \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{N}(z_{k}, z_{n}) \end{bmatrix}_{k,n=1}^{m} \end{bmatrix}$$

In particular, it holds that

$$R_{1,0}(x,-) = \operatorname{Pf} \mathcal{K}_N(x,x)$$
 and $R_{0,1}(-,z) = \operatorname{Pf} \mathcal{K}_N(z,z).$

Recall that we set $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle=\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}+\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$, where

$$\langle f|g\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g(y)\operatorname{sgn}(y-x)\Phi(x)^{-s}\Phi(y)^{-s}d\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(x)d\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(y) \langle f|g\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = -2\mathrm{i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{f(z)}g(z)\operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Im}(z))\Phi(z)^{-2s}d\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(z).$$

Correlation Functions: Real Case

Theorem (Borodin-Sinclair, 2009)

Let N = 2J and π_0, \ldots, π_{N-1} be skew-orthogonal polynomials w.r.t. $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$. Set

$$\kappa_N(u,v) := 2\Phi(u)^{-s} \Phi(v)^{-s} \sum_{n=0}^J (\pi_{2n}(u)\pi_{2n+1}(v) - \pi_{2n}(v)\pi_{2n+1}(u)).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{K}_{N}(u,v) = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_{N}(u,v) & \kappa_{N}\epsilon(u,v) \\ \epsilon \kappa_{N}(u,v) & \epsilon \kappa_{N}\epsilon(u,v) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{sgn}(u-v) \end{bmatrix}$$

where $sgn(\cdot) = 0$ for non-real arguments and ϵ is the operator

$$\epsilon f(u) := \left\{ egin{array}{c} rac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \mathrm{sgn}(t-u) \mathrm{d} \mu_{\mathbb{R}}(t), & u \in \mathbb{R}, \ \mathrm{i} \cdot \mathrm{sgn}(\mathrm{Im}(u)) f(\overline{u}), & u \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}, \end{array}
ight.$$

which acts on u when written on the left and on v when written on the right.

Skew-Orthogonal Polynomials

The following results are from Sinclair-Ya. 2012 (complex case) and 2015 (real case).

Theorem

It holds that

$$\pi_{2n}(z) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(k+3/2)\Gamma(n-k+1/2)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(n-k+1)} z^{2k}$$

and

$$\pi_{2n+1}(z) = -rac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=0}^n rac{s-(2k+2)}{2s}rac{\Gamma(k+3/2)\Gamma(n-k-1/2)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(n-k+1)}z^{2k+1}.$$

It is also true that

$$\Pi_n(z) = \sqrt{rac{n+1}{\pi}\left(1-rac{n+1}{s}
ight)}z^n.$$

Write $\widetilde{\pi}_k(z) := \pi_k(z) \Phi(z)^{-s}$. Given $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and N even, it holds that

$$\begin{split} E[N_A] &= \int_A \operatorname{Pf} \mathcal{K}_N(x, x) \mathrm{d} \mu_{\mathbb{R}}(x) \\ &= \int_A \operatorname{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \kappa_N \epsilon(x, x) \\ \epsilon \kappa_N(x, x) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathrm{d} \mu_{\mathbb{R}}(x) \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=0}^{N/2} \int_A \left(\widetilde{\pi}_{2n}(x) \epsilon \widetilde{\pi}_{2n+1}(x) - \widetilde{\pi}_{2n+1}(x) \epsilon \widetilde{\pi}_{2n}(x) \right) d\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(x). \end{split}$$

<

Let N_{in} and N_{out} be the number of real roots on [-1,1] and $\mathbb{R} \setminus (-1,1)$. Then

$$\begin{cases} E[N_{in}] &= \frac{1}{\pi} \log N + O_N(1) \\ \\ E[N_{out}] &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{N(2s-N)}}{s} \log (1 - Ns^{-1}) + \sqrt{Ns^{-1}} O_N(1), \end{cases}$$

where the implicit constants are uniform with respect to s.

Observe that

$$\boldsymbol{E}[\boldsymbol{N}_{\mathsf{out}}] = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sqrt{Ns^{-1}}O_N(1), & \limsup_{N \to \infty} Ns^{-1} < 1, \\ \\ \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \log N + O_N(1), & s = N + N^{1-\alpha}, \alpha \in [0, 1], \\ \\ \frac{1}{\pi} \log N + O_N(1), & \limsup_{N \to \infty} (s - N) < \infty. \end{array} \right.$$

Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ and δ be small. In the complex case we have that

$$\begin{split} E\big[N_{\zeta+\delta\mathbb{D}}\big] &= \int_{\zeta+\delta\mathbb{D}} K_N(z,z) \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \delta^2 K_N\big(\zeta+\delta z,\zeta+\delta z\big) \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(z). \end{split}$$

Similarly, in the real case we have for $\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{\pm 1\}$ that

$$E[N_{\zeta+\delta\mathbb{D}}] = \int_{\zeta+\delta\mathbb{D}} \mathrm{Pf} \mathbf{K}_{N}(z,z) \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(z).$$

As we have N total zeros, the scale should be $\delta = 1/N$.

Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$. Assume that $\lambda := \lim_{N \to \infty} Ns^{-1} \in [0, 1]$ exists. Then

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N^2}K_N\left(\zeta+\frac{z}{N},\zeta+\frac{w}{N}\right)=K_\zeta(z,w),$$

where $\omega(au) := \min\left\{1, e^{-\operatorname{Re}(au)/\lambda}
ight\}$ and

$$\mathcal{K}_{\zeta}(z,w) = \omega(z\overline{\zeta})\omega(\overline{w}\zeta)\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{0}^{1}x(1-\lambda x)e^{(z\overline{\zeta}+\overline{w}\zeta)x}\mathrm{d}x.$$

It holds that $\operatorname{Re}(z\overline{\zeta}) > 0$ iff z points outside \mathbb{D} at ζ .

Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{\pm 1\}$. Assume that $\lambda := \lim_{N \to \infty} Ns^{-1} \in [0, 1]$ exists. Then

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N^2}K_N\left(\zeta+\frac{z}{N},\zeta+\frac{w}{N}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & K_{\zeta}(z,w) \\ -K_{\zeta}(w,z) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

That is, Pfaffian point process becomes essentially determinantal around ζ .

Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{\pm 1\}$. Assume that $\lambda := \lim_{N \to \infty} Ns^{-1} \in [0, 1]$ exists. Then

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N^2}K_N\left(\zeta+\frac{z}{N},\zeta+\frac{w}{N}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & K_{\zeta}(z,w) \\ -K_{\zeta}(w,z) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

That is, Pfaffian point process becomes essentially determinantal around ζ .

Theorem

Let $\xi \in \{\pm 1\}$. Assuming that $\lambda := \lim_{N \to \infty} Ns^{-1} \in [0, 1]$ exists, it holds that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N^2}\kappa_N\left(x+\frac{u}{N},\xi+\frac{v}{N}\right)=\kappa_{\xi}(u,v)$$

where the convergence is locally uniform in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$,

$$\kappa_{\xi}(u,v) = \omega(u\xi)\omega(v\xi)\frac{\xi}{4}\int_{0}^{1}\tau(1-\lambda\tau)\bigg(M'(u\xi\tau)M(v\xi\tau)-M(u\xi\tau)M'(v\xi\tau)\bigg)\mathrm{d}\tau,$$

and $M(z) = {}_{1}F_{1}(3/2, 1; z)$, i.e., $zM''(z) + (1 - z)M'(z) - \frac{3}{2}M(z) = 0$.



The scaled intensity of complex roots near 1, for $\lambda = 1$ (left) and $\lambda = 0$ (right). Note how the roots tend to accumulate near the unit disk (the *y*-axis here) and repel from the real axis.

Assuming that $\lambda := \lim_{N \to \infty} Ns^{-1} \in [0, 1]$ exists, it holds that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} K_N(z,w) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{(1-z\overline{w})^2}$$

and

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \kappa_N(u,v) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\left(v\sqrt{-\tau} - u\sqrt{-\overline{\tau}}\right) |\mathrm{d}\tau|}{\left(1 - u^2\overline{\tau}\right)^{3/2} \left(1 - v^2\tau\right)^{3/2}}$$

locally uniform in $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$, where $\sqrt{-\tau}$ is the branch defined by $-\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^m}{2m-1}$.

Assuming that $\lambda := \lim_{N \to \infty} Ns^{-1} \in [0, 1]$ and $c := \lim_{N \to \infty} (s - N) \in [0, \infty]$ exist, it holds that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{|z\overline{w}|^s}{(z\overline{w})^N}\frac{K_N(z,w)}{s-N}=\frac{\lambda}{\pi}\frac{1}{z\overline{w}-1}\left[1+\frac{c^{-1}}{z\overline{w}-1}\right]$$

and

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{|uv|^s}{(uv)^N} \frac{\kappa_N(u,v)}{s-N} = \frac{\lambda}{\pi} \frac{1}{uv-1} \left[1 + \frac{c^{-1}}{uv-1} \right] \frac{v-u}{\sqrt{u^2 - 1}\sqrt{v^2 - 1}}.$$



The limiting intensity of complex roots outside the disk, with a close up view near z = 1, for the Mahler measure (c = 1) case.